

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheet of drawings includes new Fig. 13.

Attachment: New Sheet for Fig. 13

REMARKS

This paper is submitted in response to the Office Action dated August 8, 2006. In addition, Applicants respectfully request that a three-month extension of time be granted to respond to the Final Office Action mailed August 8, 2006, and that the Examiner consider this a petition therefor. The period of response extends up to and includes February 8, 2007, and this paper is timely filed. Authorization for a Credit Card charge of \$510.00 for the three-month extension fee is hereby included in the Electronic Fee Sheet attached. Reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims by the Examiner are therefore respectfully requested

In the subject Office Action, the drawings and the specification were objected to by the Examiner. Furthermore, claims 1-12 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-53 of U.S. Patent No. 7,035,691 to Campos in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0077689 by Kirkland. In addition, claims 1-2, 4-5 and 7-12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,510,939 to Brenman et al., and claims 1, 3-4, 7-9 and 11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 2,661,744 to Browner.

Applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner's rejections to the extent that they are maintained. Applicants have amended the specification and the drawings, canceled claims 6-12, amended claim 1 and added new claims 13 and 14. Applicants respectfully submit that no new matter is being added by the above amendments, as the amendments are fully supported in the specification, drawings and claims as originally filed.

Now turning to the subject Office Action, and initially to the Examiner's objections to the drawings, the Examiner will note that Applicants have added new Fig. 13 to show the claimed subject matter, which also described in the amended paragraph. Withdrawal of the objection to the drawings are therefore respectfully requested.

With regard to the specification, the Examiner will note that Applicants have amended the specification to update the status of the related applications. Applicants respectfully submit that the specification is now proper, and withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

Next, with respect to the double patenting rejection, while Applicants traverse the Examiner's rejection, Applicants have nonetheless enclosed herewith a Terminal Disclaimer (including authorization to charge Deposit Account 23-3000 for the \$65.00 fee) to overcome the rejection. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection, and allowance of claims 1-12 are respectfully requested.

Next turning to the art-based rejections, and specifically to the rejection of independent claim 1, this claim has been amended to include the patentable subject matter of original claim 6. New claim 13 includes much of the subject matter of original claims 1 and 4. Applicants respectfully submit that the cited references do not disclose the garment types included in new claim 13. New claim 14 include handles used by the user to control the stimulating signal.

In summary, Applicants respectfully submit that all pending claims are novel and non-obvious over the prior art of record. Reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims are therefore respectfully requested. If the Examiner has any questions regarding the foregoing, or which might otherwise further this case onto allowance, the Examiner may contact the undersigned at (513) 241-2324. Moreover, if any other charges or credits are necessary to complete this communication, please apply them to Deposit Account 23-3000.

Respectfully submitted,

February 8, 2007
Date

/Douglas A. Scholer /
Douglas A. Scholer
Reg. No. 52,197
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, L.L.P.
2700 Carew Tower
441 Vine Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
Telephone: (513) 241-2324
Facsimile: (513) 241-6234